The Tower at STAR Campus 100 Discovery Blvd, Suite 800 Newark DE 19713 302-737-6205; Fax 302-286-7131 e-mail: wilmapco@wilmapco.org website: www.wilmapco.org

WILMAPCO Council:

John Sisson, Chair Delaware Transit Corporation Chief Executive Officer

Geoff Anderson Maryland Dept. of Transportation Chief, Office of Planning, Programming and Delivery

David L. Edgell Delaware Office of State Planning Coordination, Director

Danielle Hornberger Cecil County Executive

Nicole Majeski Delaware Dept. of Transportation Secretary

Matthew Meyer New Castle County Executive

Michael S. Purzycki Mayor of Wilmington

Eric Scott Thompson Mayor of Elsmere

Vacant Cecil County Municipalities Representative

WILMAPCO Executive Director Tigist Zegeye

DRAFT RESOLUTION BY THE WILMINGTON AREA PLANNING COUNCIL (WILMAPCO)

AMENDING THE FY 2025-2028 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, NEW CASTLE COUNTY ELEMENT

WHEREAS, the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO) has been designated the Metropolitan Planning Organization for Cecil County, Maryland and New Castle County, Delaware by the Governors of Maryland and Delaware, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Regulations of Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), Metropolitan Planning Requirements, require that, in air quality non-attainment areas, the MPO, in cooperation with participants in the planning process, develop and, at least every four years, updates the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the WILMAPCO TIP format incorporates a four-year period for the listing of priority projects to be implemented, as well as a list of program development projects; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2025-2028 TIP has undergone appropriate community and technical reviews; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the TIP has been determined to be air quality conforming in accordance with IIJA and Clean Air Act and Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 requirements; and;

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2025-2028 TIP has been found to be financially constrained, as directed by 23 CFR 450.326, and consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Wilmington Area Planning Council does hereby amend the FY 2025-2028 Transportation Improvement Program to include additional funds for the I-95 and SR-896 Interchange project.

Date:

John Sisson, Chairperson Wilmington Area Planning Council



WILMAPCO

Partners with you in transportation planning

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AMENDMENT FORM

This form must be completed and <u>all</u> questions must be answered in order to process this request.

Date of Submission: 2/11/25

TIP to be Amended: FY2025-FY2028

Sponsoring Agency: DelDOT

Project Name: I-95 and SR896 Interchange (T201609002)

Project Category: Road Systems

Project Description: The proposed improvements include ramp realignments and other geometric improvements to address congestion and safety on both I-95 and SR896. In June 2020, this project was awarded a \$56.8M federal INFRA grant which will allow construction to start in late 2022, 2 years earlier than originally anticipated.

Project Justification: The I-95/SR896 Interchange experiences congestion in the peak hours, along with accidents during different times of the day. Improvements at the interchange to address these and other related issues have been discussed for many years as traffic has increased.

 Funding:
 Federal \$155,824.7
 State \$33,235.9
 Other \$0
 Total \$189,060.6

Funding	Phase	FY 25 SPEND	FY 26 SPEND	FY 27 SPEND	FY 28 SPEND	Total
100% State	ROW	\$555.3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$555.3
50/50 FHWA	С	\$45,361.2	\$20,000.0	\$0	\$0	\$65,361.2
100% FHWA (50% TC)	С	\$55,265.1	\$47,714.5	\$20,164.5	\$0	\$123,144.1
Total		\$101,181.6	\$67,714.5	\$20,164.5	\$0	\$189,060.6

1. Does this project require a new conformity determination? No

(Section 51.400)(C2) "A TIP amendment requires a new conformity determination for the entire TIP before the amendment is approved by the MPO, unless it merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in (Section 51.460)."

2. Is this project regionally significant? Yes

(Section 450.324)(f)(3) "The TIP shall include...all regionally significant transportation projects for which an FHWA or the FTA approval is required whether or not the projects are to be funded with title 23, U.S.C., or Federal Transit Act funds, e.g., addition of an interchange to the Interstate System with State, local, and/or private funds, demonstration projects not funded under title 23, U.S.C., or the Federal Transit Act, etc."

3. Has this project had the opportunity for public comment? Yes

(Section 450.326) "... Public involvement procedures consistent with Section 450.316 (b)(1) shall be utilized in amending the TIP, except that these procedures are not required for TIP amendments that only involve projects of the type covered in Section 450.324 (I)."

4. Has this project been found to be financially constrained? Yes

(Section 450.324)(e) "The TIP shall be financially constrained by year and include a financial plan that demonstrates which projects can be implemented using current revenue sources (while the existing transportation system is being adequately operated and maintained. The financial plan shall be developed by the MPO in cooperation with the State and transit operator..."

Please indicate funding sources by agency: DelDOT, FHWA

5. Is this project consistent with the WILMAPCO Metropolitan Transportation Plan? Yes (Section 450.324)(f)(2) "The TIP shall include...only projects that are consistent with the transportation plan."

If not, is there a resolution to amend the Metropolitan Transportation Plan?_____

Please provide any additional pertinent information below:

WILMAPCO Transportation Improvement Program Submission/Amendment Description of Public Participation

Project Name: I-95 and SR896 Interchange (T201609002)

Which techniques were used to seek public comment (please use additional pages if needed).

Number of public workshops/meetings: Format: Location(s): Number of attendees: Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some support, but some concerns Some support, but some concerns Nixed, equal support and Mixed, equal support and Mixed, equal support and Mixed, equal support and Mixed, equal support and	Public workshops/meetings		
Location(s): Number of attendees: Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concernsSome opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concernsStrong opposition, major problems identified Mixed, equal support andStrong opposition, major problems identified Mixed, equal support andStrong opposition, major problems identified Mixed, equal support andResults: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Newsletter/brochure Newsletter/brochure Newsletter/brochure Newsletter/brochure Newsletter/brochure Net flyers	Number of public workshops/meetings:		
Number of attendees: Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some support, but some concerns	Format:		
Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some support, few concerns Some support, few concerns Some support, few concerns Strong support, few concerns Strong opposition, many concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Mumber surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Myeb pagePublications Distribution: Elegal noticeNewsletter/brochure Newsletter/brochure Radio/television	Location(s):		
Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some support, but some concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Web pagePublications Distribution: Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers	Number of attendees:		
Overall, the public support for the project was (check one):	Main issue raised:		
Strong support, few concernsSome opposition, many concerns raisedSome support, but some concerns raisedStrong opposition, major problems identifiedMixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering CommitteeResults:Results:Results:Results:Results:	Consensus of meeting:		
Some support, but some concerns raised raised strong opposition, major problems identified Mixed, equal support and opposition identified identified Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee	Overall, the public support for the project	ct was (check one):	
TaisedStrong opposition, major problems identified	Strong support, f	ew concerns	Some opposition, many concerns
Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Nuber surveyed: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Nuber surveyed: Elected officials briefings	Some support, bu	t some concerns	
		-	
Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Web page Publications Distribution: Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television		oport and	identified
Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Web page Legal notice Legal notice Videos Flyers	Unresolved issues identified:		
Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other Web page Publications Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television	Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee		
Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Web page Legal notice Legal notice Videos Flyers	Survey		
Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? Web page Uegal notice Legal notice Videos Radio/television	Number surveyed:		
Other How was the public notified about the project? Web page Publications Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television	Results:	_	
How was the public notified about the project? Web page Publications Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television Newsletter/brochure	Elected officials briefings		
Web page Publications Distribution: Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television Newsletter/brochure	Other		
Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television Radio/television	How was the public notified about the project	?	
Videos Flyers Radio/television	Web page	Publications	Distribution:
Radio/television	Legal notice	Newsletter/t	prochure
	Videos	Flyers	
Other CTP and MPO Hearings	Radio/television		
	Other CTP and MPO Hearings		

How has the project changed as a result of public comments?

Comment further on the quantity and quality of the public participation:

I-95 and SR896 Interchange

PROJECT AUTHORIZATION SCHEDULE

IN (\$000)

PROJECT	PHASE	FUNDING SOURCE	STATE AUTH	CURRENT	FY 2023				FY 2024		FY 2025			FY 2026			STATE FE	FEDERAL
NUMBER			(Prior to FY23)		STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	TOTAL	TOTAL
T201609002	PE	80% FHWA	3,523.3	18,731.8		36.0	Y001											36.0
T201609002	PE	100% STATE	2,747.2	2,747.2														
T201609002	ROW	100% STATE	880.0	880.0														
T201609002	С	50% FHWA	71,825.0	143,650.0		56,800.0	INFRA*											56,800.0
						15,025.0	Y001*											15,025.0
T201609002	С	100% FHWA (50% TOLL CREDIT)		157,989.9		27,707.7	Y001*		35,185.4	Y001*		19,968.6	Y001*		32,224.4	Y001*		115,086.1
						1,100.0	Y240*		1,862.0	Y240*								2,962.0
						2,257.9	Y230*		3,044.0	Y230*								5,301.9
Total			78,975.5	323,998.9		102,926.6			40,091.4			19,968.6			32,224.4			195,211.0

Y001 - National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)

INFRA-INFRA Grant fund code will be determined once agreement is in place.

Y230, Y240 - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG)

PROJECT FUNDING SCHEDULE

IN (\$000)

								(.)									
PROJECT NUMBER	PHASE	FUNDING SOURCE	CURRENT ESTIMATE	FY 2023			FY 2024			FY 2025			FY 2026			FY 2027	FY 2028
				STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL
T201609002	PE	80% FHWA	18,731.8	332.7	1,330.6		16.0	64.0									
T201609002	PE	100% STATE	2,747.2	1,119.2													
T201609002	ROW	100% STATE	880.0	240.5			84.1			555.4							
T201609002	С	50% FHWA	143,650.0	5,868.2	5,868.2		33,276.2	33,276.2		22,680.6	22,680.6		10,000.0	10,000.0			
T201609002	С	100% FHWA (50% TOLL CREDIT)	157,989.9		2,030.4			27,815.4			55,265.1			47,714.5		20,164.5	
Total			323,998.9	7,560.6	9,229.2		33,376.3	61,155.6		23,236.0	77,945.7		10,000.0	57,714.5		20,164.5	

*AC Conversion