

WILMAPCO Council:

John Sisson, Chair
Delaware Transit Corporation
Chief Executive Officer

Geoff Anderson
Maryland Dept. of Transportation
Chief, Office of Planning,
Programming and Delivery

David L. Edgell
Delaware Office of State Planning
Coordination, Director

Danielle Hornberger
Cecil County Executive

Nicole Majeski
Delaware Dept. of Transportation
Secretary

Matthew Meyer
New Castle County Executive

Michael S. Purzycki
Mayor of Wilmington

Eric Scott Thompson
Mayor of Elsmere

Vacant
Cecil County Municipalities
Representative

WILMAPCO Executive Director
Tigist Zegeye

DRAFT RESOLUTION **BY THE WILMINGTON AREA PLANNING COUNCIL (WILMAPCO)**

AMENDING THE FY 2025-2028 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, NEW CASTLE COUNTY ELEMENT

WHEREAS, the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO) has been designated the Metropolitan Planning Organization for Cecil County, Maryland and New Castle County, Delaware by the Governors of Maryland and Delaware, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Regulations of Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), Metropolitan Planning Requirements, require that, in air quality non-attainment areas, the MPO, in cooperation with participants in the planning process, develop and, at least every four years, updates the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the WILMAPCO TIP format incorporates a four-year period for the listing of priority projects to be implemented, as well as a list of program development projects; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2025-2028 TIP has undergone appropriate community and technical reviews; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the TIP has been determined to be air quality conforming in accordance with IIJA and Clean Air Act and Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 requirements; and;

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2025-2028 TIP has been found to be financially constrained, as directed by 23 CFR 450.326, and consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Wilmington Area Planning Council does hereby amend the FY 2025-2028 Transportation Improvement Program to include additional funds for the US-40 and SR-896 Interchange project.

Date:

John Sisson, Chairperson
Wilmington Area Planning Council



Partners with you in transportation planning

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AMENDMENT FORM

This form must be completed and all questions must be answered in order to process this request.

Date of Submission: 2/11/25

TIP to be Amended: FY2025-FY2028

Sponsoring Agency: DelDOT

Project Name: US40 & SR896 Improvements (T201611901)

Project Category: Road Systems

Project Description: This project will construct a grade separated interchange at the intersection of US 40 and SR 896 in order to improve safety and traffic operations at the intersection.

Project Justification: This intersection is in need of both safety and operational improvements. The US 40 Corridor Monitoring Program shows large peak hour volumes and substantial congestion. Traffic conditions will likely deteriorate further with proposed development in the area. This location was also noted in the 2011 Hazard Elimination Program Report.

Funding: Federal \$6,365.8 State \$1,516.8 Other \$0 Total \$7,882.6

Funding	Phase	FY 25 SPEND	FY 26 SPEND	FY 27 SPEND	FY 28 SPEND	Total
80% FHWA	PE	\$100.0	\$375.0	\$575.0	\$657.3	\$1,707.3
100% State	ROW	\$1,175.3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,175.3
100% FHWA (20% TC)	C	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000.0	\$5,000.0
Total		\$1,275.3	\$375.0	\$575.0	\$5,657.3	\$7,882.6

1. Does this project require a new conformity determination? **No**
(Section 51.400)(C2) “A TIP amendment requires a new conformity determination for the entire TIP before the amendment is approved by the MPO, unless it merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in (Section 51.460).”

2. Is this project regionally significant? **Yes**
(Section 450.324)(f)(3) “The TIP shall include...all regionally significant transportation projects for which an FHWA or the FTA approval is required whether or not the projects are to be funded with title 23, U.S.C., or Federal Transit Act funds, e.g., addition of an interchange to the Interstate System with State, local, and/or private funds, demonstration projects not funded under title 23, U.S.C., or the Federal Transit Act, etc.”

3. Has this project had the opportunity for public comment? **No**
(Section 450.326) “... Public involvement procedures consistent with Section 450.316 (b)(1) shall be utilized in amending the TIP, except that these procedures are not required for TIP amendments that only involve projects of the type covered in Section 450.324 (I).”

4. Has this project been found to be financially constrained? **Yes**
(Section 450.324)(e) “The TIP shall be financially constrained by year and include a financial plan that demonstrates which projects can be implemented using current revenue sources (while the existing transportation system is being adequately operated and maintained. The financial plan shall be developed by the MPO in cooperation with the State and transit operator...”

Please indicate funding sources by agency: DelDOT, FHWA

5. Is this project consistent with the WILMAPCO Metropolitan Transportation Plan? **Yes**
(Section 450.324)(f)(2) “The TIP shall include...only projects that are consistent with the transportation plan.”

If not, is there a resolution to amend the Metropolitan Transportation Plan? _____

Please provide any additional pertinent information below:

Transportation Improvement Program Submission/Amendment Description of Public Participation

Project Name: US40 & SR896 Improvements (T201611901)

Which techniques were used to seek public comment (please use additional pages if needed).

_____ Public workshops/meetings
Number of public workshops/meetings:
Format:
Location(s):
Number of attendees:
Main issue raised:
Consensus of meeting:

Overall, the public support for the project was (check one):

- | | |
|--|--|
| _____ Strong support, few concerns | _____ Some opposition, many concerns raised |
| _____ Some support, but some concerns raised | _____ Strong opposition, major problems identified |
| _____ Mixed, equal support and opposition | |

Unresolved issues identified:

_____ Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee
_____ Survey
Number surveyed: _____
Results: _____
_____ Elected officials briefings
_____ Other _____

How was the public notified about the project?

_____ Web page
_____ Legal notice
_____ Videos
_____ Radio/television
_____ Other CTP and MPO Hearings _____

_____ Publications
_____ Newsletter/brochure
_____ Flyers

Distribution: _____

How has the project changed as a result of public comments?

Comment further on the quantity and quality of the public participation:

US 40 & SR 896 Improvements

PROJECT AUTHORIZATION SCHEDULE
IN (\$000)

PROJECT NUMBER	PHASE	FUNDING SOURCE	STATE AUTH (Prior to FY23)	CURRENT ESTIMATE	FY 2023			FY 2024			FY 2025			FY 2026			STATE TOTAL	FEDERAL TOTAL
					STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE		
T201611901	PE	80% FHWA	1,760.0	9,300.0		400.0	Y001				100.0	400.0	Y230				100.0	800.0
T201611901	PE	100% STATE		9.9														
T201611901	ROW	100% STATE	2,000.0	2,000.0														
T201611901	C	100% FHWA (20% TOLL CREDIT)		62,500.0														
Total			3,760.0	73,809.9		400.0					100.0	400.0					100.0	800.0

Y001, Y002 - National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)

*AC Conversion

PROJECT FUNDING SCHEDULE
IN (\$000)

PROJECT NUMBER	PHASE	FUNDING SOURCE	CURRENT ESTIMATE	FY 2023			FY 2024			FY 2025			FY 2026			FY 2027	FY 2028
				STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL
T201611901	PE	80% FHWA	9,300.0	43.8	175.0		8.1	32.4		20.0	80.0		75.0	300.0		575.0	657.3
T201611901	PE	100% STATE	9.9														
T201611901	ROW	100% STATE	2,000.0	297.7			522.5			1,175.3							
T201611901	C	100% FHWA (20% TOLL CREDIT)	62,500.0													—	5,000.0
Total			73,809.9	341.5	175.0		530.6	32.4		1,195.3	80.0		75.0	300.0		575.0	5,657.3